



BlockSec

Security Audit Report for Paras NFT Contract

Date: September 23, 2022

Version: 1.0

Contact: contact@blocksec.com

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	About Target Contracts	1
1.2	Disclaimer	1
1.3	Procedure of Auditing	2
1.3.1	Software Security	2
1.3.2	DeFi Security	2
1.3.3	NFT Security	2
1.3.4	Additional Recommendation	3
1.4	Security Model	3
2	Findings	4
2.1	Software Security	4
2.1.1	Non-Mintable NFT with a Selling Price	4
2.2	DeFi Security	6
2.2.1	Potential Inconsistent Transaction Fee	6
2.3	NFT Security	9
2.3.1	Incomplete NFT Token Burning Mechanism	9
2.3.2	Transaction Fee Bypass with Direct NFT Minting	10
2.4	Additional Recommendation	11
2.4.1	Potential Centralization Problem	11
2.4.2	Improper NFT Series MetaData Query	12
2.4.3	Redundant Code (I)	12
2.4.4	Redundant Code (II)	13
2.4.5	Redundant Function Parameter	15
2.4.6	Storage Optimization	15
2.5	Notes	16
2.5.1	Assumption on the Secure Implementation of Dependencies	16

Report Manifest

Item	Description
Client	Paras
Target	Paras NFT Contract

Version History

Version	Date	Description
1.0	September 23, 2022	First Release

About BlockSec The **BlockSec** focuses on the security of the blockchain ecosystem and collaborates with leading DeFi projects to secure their products. BlockSec is founded by top-notch security researchers and experienced experts from both academia and industry. They have published multiple blockchain security papers in prestigious conferences, reported several zero-day attacks of DeFi applications, and successfully protected digital assets that are worth more than 5 million dollars by blocking multiple attacks. They can be reached at [Email](#), [Twitter](#) and [Medium](#).

Chapter 1 Introduction

1.1 About Target Contracts

Information	Description
Type	Smart Contract
Language	Rust
Approach	Semi-automatic and manual verification

The repository that has been audited includes the **Paras NFT** contract ¹.

The auditing process is iterative. Specifically, we will audit the commits that fix the discovered issues. If there are new issues, we will continue this process. The commit SHA values during the audit are shown in the following. Our audit report is responsible for the only initial version ([Version 1](#)), as well as new codes (in the following versions) to fix issues in the audit report.

s Project		Commit SHA
Paras NFT Contract	Version 1	8974748d4deeaed8c1a2351ab63e3950907b0485
	Version 2	4627338269f8b13db4e56244d0d873f4654a978b

Note that, we did **NOT** audit all the modules in the repository. The modules covered by this audit report include **paras-nft-contract/src** folder contract only. Specifically, the file covered in this audit include:

- event.rs
- lib.rs

1.2 Disclaimer

This audit report does not constitute investment advice or a personal recommendation. It does not consider, and should not be interpreted as considering or having any bearing on, the potential economics of a token, token sale or any other product, service or other asset. Any entity should not rely on this report in any way, including for the purpose of making any decisions to buy or sell any token, product, service or other asset.

This audit report is not an endorsement of any particular project or team, and the report does not guarantee the security of any particular project. This audit does not give any warranties on discovering all security issues of the smart contracts, i.e., the evaluation result does not guarantee the nonexistence of any further findings of security issues. As one audit cannot be considered comprehensive, we always recommend proceeding with independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contracts.

The scope of this audit is limited to the code mentioned in Section 1.1. Unless explicitly specified, the security of the language itself (e.g., the solidity language), the underlying compiling toolchain and the computing infrastructure are out of the scope.

¹<https://github.com/ParashHQ/paras-nft-contract>

1.3 Procedure of Auditing

We perform the audit according to the following procedure.

- **Vulnerability Detection** We first scan smart contracts with automatic code analyzers, and then manually verify (reject or confirm) the issues reported by them.
- **Semantic Analysis** We study the business logic of smart contracts and conduct further investigation on the possible vulnerabilities using an automatic fuzzing tool (developed by our research team). We also manually analyze possible attack scenarios with independent auditors to cross-check the result.
- **Recommendation** We provide some useful advice to developers from the perspective of good programming practice, including gas optimization, code style, and etc.

We show the main concrete checkpoints in the following.

1.3.1 Software Security

- * Reentrancy
- * DoS
- * Access control
- * Data handling and data flow
- * Exception handling
- * Untrusted external call and control flow
- * Initialization consistency
- * Events operation
- * Error-prone randomness
- * Improper use of the proxy system

1.3.2 DeFi Security

- * Semantic consistency
- * Functionality consistency
- * Permission management
- * Business logic
- * Token operation
- * Emergency mechanism
- * Oracle security
- * Whitelist and blacklist
- * Economic impact
- * Batch transfer

1.3.3 NFT Security

- * Duplicated item
- * Verification of the token receiver
- * Off-chain metadata security

1.3.4 Additional Recommendation

- * Gas optimization
- * Code quality and style

 **Note** The previous checkpoints are the main ones. We may use more checkpoints during the auditing process according to the functionality of the project.

1.4 Security Model

To evaluate the risk, we follow the standards or suggestions that are widely adopted by both industry and academy, including OWASP Risk Rating Methodology ² and Common Weakness Enumeration ³. The overall *severity* of the risk is determined by *likelihood* and *impact*. Specifically, likelihood is used to estimate how likely a particular vulnerability can be uncovered and exploited by an attacker, while impact is used to measure the consequences of a successful exploit.

In this report, both likelihood and impact are categorized into two ratings, i.e., *high* and *low* respectively, and their combinations are shown in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1: Vulnerability Severity Classification

Impact	Likelihood	
	High	Medium
High	High	Medium
Low	Medium	Low
	High	Low

Accordingly, the severity measured in this report are classified into three categories: **High**, **Medium**, **Low**. For the sake of completeness, **Undetermined** is also used to cover circumstances when the risk cannot be well determined.

Furthermore, the status of a discovered item will fall into one of the following four categories:

- **Undetermined** No response yet.
- **Acknowledged** The item has been received by the client, but not confirmed yet.
- **Confirmed** The item has been recognized by the client, but not fixed yet.
- **Fixed** The item has been confirmed and fixed by the client.

²https://owasp.org/www-community/OWASP_Risk_Rating_Methodology

³<https://cwe.mitre.org/>

Chapter 2 Findings

In total, we find **four** potential issues. We have **six** recommendations and **one** note.

- High Risk: 0
- Medium Risk: 0
- Low Risk: 4
- Recommendations: 6
- Notes: 1

ID	Severity	Description	Category	Status
1	Low	Non-Mintable NFT with a Selling Price	Software Security	Fixed
2	Low	Potential Inconsistent Transaction Fee	DeFi Security	Confirmed
3	Low	Incomplete NFT Token Burning Mechanism	NFT Security	Confirmed
4	Low	Transaction Fee Bypass with Direct NFT Minting	NFT Security	Confirmed
5	-	Potential Centralization Problem	Recommendation	Confirmed
6	-	Improper NFT Series MetaData Query	Recommendation	Fixed
7	-	Redundant Code (I)	Recommendation	Acknowledged
8	-	Redundant Code (II)	Recommendation	Confirmed
9	-	Redundant Function Parameter	Recommendation	Fixed
10	-	Storage Optimization	Recommendation	Fixed
11	-	Assumption on the Secure Implementation of Dependencies	Notes	Confirmed

The details are provided in the following sections.

2.1 Software Security

2.1.1 Non-Mintable NFT with a Selling Price

Severity Low

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In function `nft_decrease_series_copies()`, the price of the NFT series is not set as `None` when it is not mintable anymore (lines 615-617) due the deduction of the series copies, which is inconsistent with the implementation of another function `_nft_mint_series()` (lines 539-542).

```
592 #[payable]
593 pub fn nft_decrease_series_copies(
594     &mut self,
595     token_series_id: TokenSeriesId,
596     decrease_copies: U64
597 ) -> U64 {
598     assert_one_yocto();
599
600     let mut token_series = self.token_series_by_id.get(&token_series_id).expect("Token series
601         not exist");
601     assert_eq!(
```

```

602     env::predecessor_account_id(),
603     token_series.creator_id,
604     "Paras: Creator only"
605 );
606
607     let minted_copies = token_series.tokens.len();
608     let copies = token_series.metadata.copies.unwrap();
609
610     assert!(
611         (copies - decrease_copies.0) >= minted_copies,
612         "Paras: cannot decrease supply, already minted : {}", minted_copies
613     );
614
615     let is_non_mintable = if (copies - decrease_copies.0) == minted_copies {
616         token_series.is_mintable = false;
617         true
618     } else {
619         false
620     };
621
622     token_series.metadata.copies = Some(copies - decrease_copies.0);
623
624     self.token_series_by_id.insert(&token_series_id, &token_series);
625     env::log(
626         json!({
627             "type": "nft_decrease_series_copies",
628             "params": {
629                 "token_series_id": token_series_id,
630                 "copies": U64::from(token_series.metadata.copies.unwrap()),
631                 "is_non_mintable": is_non_mintable,
632             }
633         })
634         .to_string()
635         .as_bytes(),
636     );
637     U64::from(token_series.metadata.copies.unwrap())
638 }
```

Listing 2.1: paras-nft-contract/src/lib.rs

```

524 fn _nft_mint_series(
525     &mut self,
526     token_series_id: TokenSeriesId,
527     receiver_id: AccountId
528 ) -> TokenId {
529     let mut token_series = self.token_series_by_id.get(&token_series_id).expect("Paras: Token
530         series not exist");
531     assert!(
532         token_series.is_mintable,
533         "Paras: Token series is not mintable"
534     );
535     let num_tokens = token_series.tokens.len();
```

```

536     let max_copies = token_series.metadata.copies.unwrap_or(u64::MAX);
537     assert!(num_tokens < max_copies, "Series supply maxed");
538
539     if (num_tokens + 1) >= max_copies {
540         token_series.is_mintable = false;
541         token_series.price = None;
542     }
543
544     let token_id = format!("{}{}{}", &token_series_id, TOKEN_DELIMETER, num_tokens + 1);
545     token_series.tokens.insert(&token_id);
546     self.token_series_by_id.insert(&token_series_id, &token_series);

```

Listing 2.2: paras-nft-contract/src/lib.rs

Impact There will be some NFT series with certain available prices, but cannot be bought by the buyers.

Suggestion Remove the `TokenSeries`'s price if it is not mintable in function `nft_decrease_series_copies()`.

2.2 DeFi Security

2.2.1 Potential Inconsistent Transaction Fee

Severity Low

Status Confirmed

Introduced by Version 1

Description The `market_data_transaction_fee` for a certain series will not be updated unless the function `nft_set_series_price()` is invoked by the creator (lines 670-672).

```

640 #[payable]
641 pub fn nft_set_series_price(&mut self, token_series_id: TokenSeriesId, price: Option<U128>) ->
642     Option<U128> {
643     assert_one_yocto();
644
645     let mut token_series = self.token_series_by_id.get(&token_series_id).expect("Token series
646         not exist");
647     assert_eq!(
648         env::predecessor_account_id(),
649         token_series.creator_id,
650         "Paras: Creator only"
651     );
652
653     assert_eq!(
654         token_series.is_mintable,
655         true,
656         "Paras: token series is not mintable"
657     );
658
659     if price.is_none() {
660         token_series.price = None;
661     } else {
662         assert!(
663             price == token_series.price,
664             "Paras: Price mismatch"
665         );
666     }
667
668     self.token_series_by_id.insert(&token_series_id, &token_series);
669
670     Some(price)
671 }

```

```

661         price.unwrap().0 < MAX_PRICE,
662         "Paras: price higher than {}",
663         MAX_PRICE
664     );
665     token_series.price = Some(price.unwrap().0);
666 }
667
668     self.token_series_by_id.insert(&token_series_id, &token_series);
669
670 // set market data transaction fee
671 let current_transaction_fee = self.calculate_current_transaction_fee();
672 self.market_data_transaction_fee.transaction_fee.insert(&token_series_id, &
673             current_transaction_fee);
674
675 env::log(
676     json!({
677         "type": "nft_set_series_price",
678         "params": {
679             "token_series_id": token_series_id,
680             "price": price,
681             "transaction_fee": current_transaction_fee.to_string()
682         }
683     })
684     .to_string()
685     .as_bytes(),
686 );
687     return price;
688 }
```

Listing 2.3: paras-nft-contract/src/lib.rs

Impact Buyers may have to pay the treasury with the outdated transaction fee even if the contract's current transaction fee (`Contract.transaction_fee`) is already changed by function `set_transaction_fee()`.

```

227 #[payable]
228 pub fn set_transaction_fee(&mut self, next_fee: u16, start_time: Option<TimestampSec>) {
229     assert_one_yocto();
230     assert_eq!(
231         env::predecessor_account_id(),
232         self.tokens.owner_id,
233         "Paras: Owner only"
234     );
235
236     assert!(
237         next_fee < 10_000,
238         "Paras: transaction fee is more than 10_000"
239     );
240
241     if start_time.is_none() {
242         self.transaction_fee.current_fee = next_fee;
243         self.transaction_fee.next_fee = None;
244         self.transaction_fee.start_time = None;
245         return
246     } else {
```

```

247     let start_time: TimestampSec = start_time.unwrap();
248     assert!(
249         start_time > to_sec(env::block_timestamp()),
250         "start_time is less than current block_timestamp"
251     );
252     self.transaction_fee.next_fee = Some(next_fee);
253     self.transaction_fee.start_time = Some(start_time);
254 }
255 }
```

Listing 2.4: paras-nft-contract/src/lib.rs

Suggestion Calculate the treasury fee based on the current default transaction fee ([Contract.transaction_fee](#)) in function [nft_buy\(\)](#).

```

411 #[payable]
412 pub fn nft_buy(
413     &mut self,
414     token_series_id: TokenSeriesId
415 ) -> TokenId {
416     let initial_storage_usage = env::storage_usage();
417     let attached_deposit = env::attached_deposit();
418     let receiver_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
419     let token_series = self.token_series_by_id.get(&token_series_id).expect("Paras: Token
        series not exist");
420     let price: u128 = token_series.price.expect("Paras: not for sale");
421     assert!(
422         attached_deposit >= price,
423         "Paras: attached deposit is less than price : {}",
424         price
425     );
426     let token_id: TokenId = self._nft_mint_series(token_series_id.clone(), receiver_id.
        to_string());
427
428     let for_treasury = price as u128 * self.calculate_market_data_transaction_fee(&
        token_series_id) / 10_000u128;
429     let price_deducted = price - for_treasury;
430     Promise::new(token_series.creator_id).transfer(price_deducted);
431
432     if for_treasury != 0 {
433         Promise::new(self.treasury_id.clone()).transfer(for_treasury);
434     }
435
436     refund_deposit(env::storage_usage() - initial_storage_usage, price);
437
438     NearEvent::log_nft_mint(
439         receiver_id.to_string(),
440         vec![token_id.clone()],
441         Some(json!({"price": price.to_string()}).to_string())
442     );
443
444     token_id
445 }
```

Listing 2.5: paras-nft-contract/src/lib.rs

Feedback from the Project This is by design. The transaction fee is determined when the price was set.

2.3 NFT Security

2.3.1 Incomplete NFT Token Burning Mechanism

Severity Low

Status Confirmed

Introduced by Version 1

Description When the function `nft_burn()` is invoked, the `token_id` of the burnt NFT token will not be removed from the `UnorderedSet TokenSeries.tokens`, which means that the supply of the corresponding NFT series will not be reduced.

```

689  #[payable]
690  pub fn nft_burn(&mut self, token_id: TokenId) {
691      assert_one_yocto();
692
693      let owner_id = self.tokens.owner_by_id.get(&token_id).unwrap();
694      assert_eq!(
695          owner_id,
696          env::predecessor_account_id(),
697          "Token owner only"
698      );
699
700      if let Some(next_approval_id_by_id) = &mut self.tokens.next_approval_id_by_id {
701          next_approval_id_by_id.remove(&token_id);
702      }
703
704      if let Some(approvals_by_id) = &mut self.tokens.approvals_by_id {
705          approvals_by_id.remove(&token_id);
706      }
707
708      if let Some(tokens_per_owner) = &mut self.tokens.tokens_per_owner {
709          let mut token_ids = tokens_per_owner.get(&owner_id).unwrap();
710          token_ids.remove(&token_id);
711          tokens_per_owner.insert(&owner_id, &token_ids);
712      }
713
714      if let Some(token_metadata_by_id) = &mut self.tokens.token_metadata_by_id {
715          token_metadata_by_id.remove(&token_id);
716      }
717
718      self.tokens.owner_by_id.remove(&token_id);
719
720      NearEvent::log_nft_burn(
721          owner_id,

```

```

722         vec![token_id],
723         None,
724         None,
725     );
726 }

```

Listing 2.6: paras-nft-contract/src/lib.rs

Impact The burnt NFT Token cannot be minted again. This is because the `token_id` of the newly minted token is based on the length of the `UnorderedSet TokenSeries.tokens` (line 535) and its length will only increase.

```

524 fn _nft_mint_series(
525     &mut self,
526     token_series_id: TokenSeriesId,
527     receiver_id: AccountId
528 ) -> TokenId {
529     let mut token_series = self.token_series_by_id.get(&token_series_id).expect("Paras: Token
530         series not exist");
531     assert!(
532         token_series.is_mintable,
533         "Paras: Token series is not mintable"
534     );
535     let num_tokens = token_series.tokens.len();
536     let max_copies = token_series.metadata.copies.unwrap_or(u64::MAX);
537     assert!(num_tokens < max_copies, "Series supply maxed");
538
539     if (num_tokens + 1) >= max_copies {
540         token_series.is_mintable = false;
541         token_series.price = None;
542     }
543
544     let token_id = format!("{}-{}-{}", &token_series_id, TOKEN_DELIMETER, num_tokens + 1);
545     token_series.tokens.insert(&token_id);
546     self.token_series_by_id.insert(&token_series_id, &token_series);

```

Listing 2.7: paras-nft-contract/src/lib.rs

Suggestion Remove the burnt NFT's `token_id` from the `UnorderedSet TokenSeries.tokens` in function `nft_burn()` and implement a reasonable method to generate the `token_id` of the newly minted NFT in function `_nft_mint_series()`.

Feedback from the Project This is by design, because the supply also includes burnt tokens.

2.3.2 Transaction Fee Bypass with Direct NFT Minting

Severity Low

Status Confirmed

Introduced by Version 1

Description In function `nft_mint()`, the NFT series creators can mint NFTs without paying the corresponding `market_data_transaction_fee`, which allows the trade to be made offline.

```

447     #[payable]
448     pub fn nft_mint(
449         &mut self,
450         token_series_id: TokenSeriesId,
451         receiver_id: ValidAccountId
452     ) -> TokenId {
453         let initial_storage_usage = env::storage_usage();
454
455         let token_series = self.token_series_by_id.get(&token_series_id).expect("Paras: Token
456             series not exist");
457         assert_eq!(env::predecessor_account_id(), token_series.creator_id, "Paras: not creator");
458         let token_id: TokenId = self._nft_mint_series(token_series_id, receiver_id.to_string());
459
460         refund_deposit(env::storage_usage() - initial_storage_usage, 0);
461
462         NearEvent::log_nft_mint(
463             receiver_id.to_string(),
464             vec![token_id.clone()],
465             None,
466         );
467         token_id
468     }

```

Listing 2.8: paras-nft-contract/src/lib.rs

Impact NFTs can be minted without paying the transaction fee.

Suggestion It is suggested to calculate and charge transaction fee in function `nft_mint()`.

Feedback from the Project This is by design. Since the mint here was done by the creator itself, it doesn't need to go through payment which does not require transaction fee.

2.4 Additional Recommendation

2.4.1 Potential Centralization Problem

Status Confirmed

Introduced by Version 1

Description The privileged account `Contract.tokens.owner_id` has the ability to configure some of the system parameters (e.g., `Contract.transaction_fee` and `Contract.treasury_id`). Additionally, the person who has the full access key of this contract could transfer assets out (e.g., NEARs) and upgrade the contract directly.

Suggestion It's suggested to remove the full access key of the contract from the blockchain (via `DeleteKey` transaction) and implement the privileged upgrade function. Besides, a decentralization design is also recommended to be introduced in the contract. The privileged roles are suggested to be transferred to a multi-signature account or DAO.

Feedback from the Project Will move the ownership to multi-sig.

2.4.2 Improper NFT Series MetaData Query

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description According to the current contract implementation, the transaction fee is different for each NFT series (line 111). In this case, it is necessary to return a specific transaction fee in the view function `nft_get_series()` instead of `None` (line 776).

```
109#[derive(BorshDeserialize, BorshSerialize, PanicOnDefault)]
110pub struct MarketDataTransactionFee {
111    pub transaction_fee: UnorderedMap<TokenSeriesId, u128>
112}
```

Listing 2.9: paras-nft-contract/src/lib.rs

```
754     pub fn nft_get_series(
755         &self,
756         from_index: Option<U128>,
757         limit: Option<u64>,
758     ) -> Vec<TokenSeriesJson> {
759         let start_index: u128 = from_index.map(From::from).unwrap_or_default();
760         assert!(
761             (self.token_series_by_id.len() as u128) > start_index,
762             "Out of bounds, please use a smaller from_index."
763         );
764         let limit = limit.map(|v| v as usize).unwrap_or(usize::MAX);
765         assert_ne!(limit, 0, "Cannot provide limit of 0.");
766
767         self.token_series_by_id
768             .iter()
769             .skip(start_index as usize)
770             .take(limit)
771             .map(|(token_series_id, token_series)| TokenSeriesJson{
772                 token_series_id,
773                 metadata: token_series.metadata,
774                 creator_id: token_series.creator_id,
775                 royalty: token_series.royalty,
776                 transaction_fee: None
777             })
778             .collect()
779     }
```

Listing 2.10: paras-nft-contract/src/lib.rs

Suggestion Return a specific transaction fee for each NFT series in the view function `nft_get_series()`.

2.4.3 Redundant Code (I)

Status Acknowledged

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description According to the current implementation of contract, the `market_data_transaction_fee` associated with a specific NFT series won't be `None` when the series is created. However, both function `calculate_market_data_transaction_fee()` and function `get_market_data_transaction_fee()` assume that the corresponding `market_data_transaction_fee` of the input `token_series_id` could be `None`, and implement the inconsistent logic to fallback the transaction fee to default, which is redundant.

```

257     pub fn calculate_market_data_transaction_fee(&mut self, token_series_id: &TokenSeriesId) ->
258         u128{
259         if let Some(transaction_fee) = self.market_data_transaction_fee.transaction_fee.get(&
260             token_series_id){
261             return transaction_fee;
262         }
263         // fallback to default transaction fee
264         self.calculate_current_transaction_fee()
265     }

```

Listing 2.11: paras-nft-contract/src/lib.rs

```

283     pub fn get_market_data_transaction_fee (&self, token_series_id: &TokenId) -> u128{
284         if let Some(transaction_fee) = self.market_data_transaction_fee.transaction_fee.get(&
285             token_series_id){
286             return transaction_fee;
287         }
288         // fallback to default transaction fee
289         self.transaction_fee.current_fee as u128
290     }

```

Listing 2.12: paras-nft-contract/src/lib.rs

Suggestion There is no need to fallback the transaction fee to default in function `calculate_market_data_transaction_fee()` and function `get_market_data_transaction_fee()`.

Feedback from the Project This is by design. We implement this functionality after many NFT series have been created, which explains why the `market_data_transaction_fee` could be `None`.

2.4.4 Redundant Code (II)

Status Confirmed

Introduced by Version 1

Description In function `nft_buy()`, it is unnecessary to check the amount of the attached NEARs (lines 421-425). If the attached NEARs cannot pay the price of the NFT plus the required storage fee, the transaction will throw into a panic in function `refund_deposit()` (line 436).

```

411     #[payable]
412     pub fn nft_buy(
413         &mut self,
414         token_series_id: TokenSeriesId
415     ) -> TokenId {
416         let initial_storage_usage = env::storage_usage();
417         let attached_deposit = env::attached_deposit();
418         let receiver_id = env::predecessor_account_id();

```

```

419     let token_series = self.token_series_by_id.get(&token_series_id).expect("Paras: Token
420         series not exist");
421     let price: u128 = token_series.price.expect("Paras: not for sale");
422     assert!(
423         attached_deposit >= price,
424         "Paras: attached deposit is less than price : {}",
425         price
426     );
427     let token_id: TokenId = self._nft_mint_series(token_series_id.clone(), receiver_id.
428         to_string());
429
430     let for_treasury = price as u128 * self.calculate_market_data_transaction_fee(&
431         token_series_id) / 10_000u128;
432     let price_deducted = price - for_treasury;
433     Promise::new(token_series.creator_id).transfer(price_deducted);
434
435     if for_treasury != 0 {
436         Promise::new(self.treasury_id.clone()).transfer(for_treasury);
437     }
438
439     refund_deposit(env::storage_usage() - initial_storage_usage, price);
440
441     NearEvent::log_nft_mint(
442         receiver_id.to_string(),
443         vec![token_id.clone()],
444         Some(json!({"price": price.to_string()}).to_string())
445     );
446
447     token_id
448 }

```

Listing 2.13: paras-nft-contract/src/lib.rs

```

1138fn refund_deposit(storage_used: u64, extra_spend: Balance) {
1139     let required_cost = env::storage_byte_cost() * Balance::from(storage_used);
1140     let attached_deposit = env::attached_deposit() - extra_spend;
1141
1142     assert!(
1143         required_cost <= attached_deposit,
1144         "Must attach {} yoctoNEAR to cover storage",
1145         required_cost,
1146     );
1147
1148     let refund = attached_deposit - required_cost;
1149     if refund > 1 {
1150         Promise::new(env::predecessor_account_id()).transfer(refund);
1151     }
1152}

```

Listing 2.14: paras-nft-contract/src/lib.rs

Suggestion Remove the redundant assertion in function `nft_buy()` (lines 421-425).

2.4.5 Redundant Function Parameter

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description It is unnecessary to pass the parameter `creator_id` to the function `nft_create_series()` as the `creator_id` of this NFT series will eventually be set to `env::predecessor_account_id()`, which does not depend on the input `creator_id`.

```

306     #[payable]
307     pub fn nft_create_series(
308         &mut self,
309         creator_id: Option<ValidAccountId>,
310         token_metadata: TokenMetadata,
311         price: Option<U128>,
312         royalty: Option<HashMap<AccountId, u32>>,
313     ) -> TokenSeriesJson {
314         let initial_storage_usage = env::storage_usage();
315         let caller_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
316
317         if creator_id.is_some() {
318             assert_eq!(creator_id.unwrap().to_string(), caller_id, "Paras: Caller is not creator_id
319                         ");
320         }
321
322         let token_series_id = format!("{}", (self.token_series_by_id.len() + 1));
323
324         assert!(
325             self.token_series_by_id.get(&token_series_id).is_none(),
326             "Paras: duplicate token_series_id"
327         );

```

Listing 2.15: paras-nft-contract/src/lib.rs

Suggestion Remove the redundant parameter `creator_id` of function `nft_create_series()` for code optimization.

2.4.6 Storage Optimization

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In function `nft_burn()`, if the set `token_ids` is empty after the removal, it's recommended to remove the `key/value` of this user from the `LookupMap Contract.tokens.tokens_per_owner` to free up the storage space.

```

689     #[payable]
690     pub fn nft_burn(&mut self, token_id: TokenId) {
691         assert_one_yocto();
692
693         let owner_id = self.tokens.owner_by_id.get(&token_id).unwrap();
694         assert_eq!(
695             owner_id,

```

```

696         env::predecessor_account_id(),
697         "Token owner only"
698     );
699
700     if let Some(next_approval_id_by_id) = &mut self.tokens.next_approval_id_by_id {
701         next_approval_id_by_id.remove(&token_id);
702     }
703
704     if let Some(approvals_by_id) = &mut self.tokens.approvals_by_id {
705         approvals_by_id.remove(&token_id);
706     }
707
708     if let Some(tokens_per_owner) = &mut self.tokens.tokens_per_owner {
709         let mut token_ids = tokens_per_owner.get(&owner_id).unwrap();
710         token_ids.remove(&token_id);
711         tokens_per_owner.insert(&owner_id, &token_ids);
712     }
713
714     if let Some(token_metadata_by_id) = &mut self.tokens.token_metadata_by_id {
715         token_metadata_by_id.remove(&token_id);
716     }
717
718     self.tokens.owner_by_id.remove(&token_id);
719
720     NearEvent::log_nft_burn(
721         owner_id,
722         vec![token_id],
723         None,
724         None,
725     );
726 }

```

Listing 2.16: paras-nft-contract/src/lib.rs

Suggestion Remove the empty set `token_ids` from the `LookupMap Contract.tokens.tokens_per_owner` in time.

2.5 Notes

2.5.1 Assumption on the Secure Implementation of Dependencies

Status Confirmed

Introduced by Version 1

Description This `PARAS_NFT_CONTRACT` is built based on the crates `near-sdk` (version 3.1.0) and `near-contract-standards` (version 3.2.0).

The required interfaces and the basic functionality listed below are provided in the contract:

- * NEP-171 (Non-Fungible Token Core Functionality)
- * NEP-178 (Non-Fungible Token Approval Management)
- * NEP-181 (Non-Fungible Token Enumeration)

- * NEP-177 (Non-Fungible Token Metadata Standard)
- * NEP-199 (Non-Fungible Token Royalties and Payouts)

In this audit, we assume the standard library provided by NEAR-SDK-RS ¹ (i.e., `near_contract_standards`) has no security issues.

¹<https://github.com/near/near-sdk-rs>